



### **III. Documentation Necessary to Substantiate the Diagnosis Must be Comprehensive**

#### **A. Evidence of Early Impairment**

Because ADHD is, by definition in the DSM-IV, first exhibited in childhood (although it may not have been formally diagnosed) and manifests itself in more than one setting, relevant historical information is essential. The following should be included in a comprehensive assessment: clinical summary of objective historical information, establishing symptomology indicative of ADHD throughout childhood, adolescence, and adulthood as garnered from transcripts, report cards, teacher comments, tutoring evaluations, and past psycho-educational testing; and third party interviews when available.

#### **B. Evidence of Current Impairment**

In addition to providing evidence of a childhood history of an impairment, the following areas must be investigated:

1. **Statement of Presenting Problem**

A history of the individual's presenting attentional symptoms should be provided, including evidence of ongoing impulsive/hyperactive or inattentive behaviors that significantly impair functioning in two or more settings.

2. **Diagnostic Interview**

The information collected for the summary of the diagnostic interview should consist of more than self-report, as information from third party sources is critical in the diagnosis of ADHD. The diagnostic interview with information from a variety of sources should include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following:

- history of presenting attentional symptoms, including evidence of ongoing impulsive/hyperactive or inattentive behavior that has significantly impaired functioning over time
- developmental history
- family history for presence of ADHD and other educational, learning, physical, or psychological difficulties deemed relevant by the examiner
- relevant medical and medication history, including the absence of a medical basis for the symptoms being evaluated
- relevant psychosocial history and any relevant interventions
- a thorough academic history of elementary, secondary, and postsecondary education
- a review of prior psychoeducational test reports to determine whether a pattern of strengths or weaknesses is supportive of attention or learning problems
- relevant employment history
- description of current functional limitations pertaining to an educational setting that are presumably a direct result of problems with attention
- relevant history of prior therapy

#### **C. Alternative Diagnoses or Explanations Should Be Ruled Out**

The evaluator must investigate and discuss the possibility of dual diagnoses and alternative or coexisting mood, behavioral, neurological, and/or personality disorders that may confound the diagnosis of ADHD. This process should include exploration of possible alternative diagnoses and medical and psychiatric disorders as well as educational and cultural factors affecting the individual that may result in behaviors mimicking an Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder.

#### **D. Relevant Testing Information Must Be Provided**

The assessment of the individual must not only establish a diagnosis of ADHD, but must also demonstrate the current impact of the ADHD on an individual's ability to take standardized tests. In addition, neuropsychological or psychoeducational assessment is important in determining the current impact of the disorder on an individual's ability to function in academically related settings. A complete psychoeducational assessment is the primary tool, for determining the degree to which the ADHD currently impacts the individual relative to taking standardized tests. The evaluator must objectively review and include with the evaluation report relevant background information to support the diagnosis and its impact within the current educational environment. If grade equivalents are reported, they must be accompanied by standard scores and/or percentiles.

Test scores or subtest scores alone should not be used as a sole measure for the diagnostic decision regarding ADHD. Selected subtest scores from measures of intellectual ability, memory functions tests, attention or tracking tests, or continuous performance tests do not in and of themselves establish the presence or absence of ADHD. Checklists and/or surveys can serve to supplement the diagnostic profile but in and of themselves are not adequate for the diagnosis of ADHD and do not substitute for clinical observations and sound diagnostic judgment. All data must logically reflect a substantial limitation to learning for which the individual is requesting the accommodation.

#### **E. Identification of DSM-IV Criteria**

A diagnostic report should include a review and discussion of the DSM-IV criteria for ADHD both currently and retrospectively and specify which symptoms are present.

In diagnosing ADHD, it is particularly important to address the following criteria:

- symptoms of hyperactivity/impulsivity or inattention that cause impairment that must have been present in childhood
- current symptoms that have been present for at least the past six months
- impairment from the symptoms present in two or more settings (for example, school, work, home)
- clear evidence of significant impairment in social, academic, or occupational functioning
- symptoms that do not occur exclusively during the course of a Pervasive Developmental Disorder, Schizophrenia, or other Psychotic Disorder and are not better accounted for by another mental disorder (e.g., Mood Disorder, Anxiety Disorder, Dissociative Disorder, or a Personality Disorder).

#### **F. Documentation Must Include a Specific Diagnosis**

The report must include a specific diagnosis of ADHD based on the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria. The diagnostician should use direct language in the diagnosis of ADHD, avoiding the use of such terms as "suggests," "is indicative of," or "attention problems."

Individuals who report only problems with organization, test anxiety, memory or concentration in selective situations do not fit the prescribed diagnostic criteria for ADHD. Given that many individuals benefit from prescribed medications and therapies, a positive response to medication by itself does not confirm a diagnosis, nor does the use of medication in and of itself either support or negate the need for accommodation(s).

#### **G. An Interpretative Summary Must Be Provided**

A well-written interpretative summary based on a comprehensive evaluative process is a necessary component of the documentation. Because ADHD is in many ways a diagnosis that is based upon the interpretation of historical data and observation, as well as other diagnostic information, it is essential that professional judgment be utilized in the development of a summary, which must include

1. indication of how patterns of inattentiveness, impulsivity, and/or hyperactivity across the life span and across settings are used to determine the presence of ADHD
2. indication of whether or not the candidate was evaluated while on medication, and whether or not the prescribed treatment produced a positive response
3. indication and discussion of the substantial limitation to learning presented by the ADHD and the degree to which it affects the individual in the testing context for which accommodations are being requested
4. indication as to why specific accommodations are needed and how the effects of ADHD symptoms, as designated by the DSM-IV, are mediated by the accommodations

#### **IV. Each Accommodation Recommended by the Evaluator Must Include a Rationale**

The evaluator must describe the impact, if any, of the diagnosed ADHD on a specific major life activity as well as the degree of impact on the individual. The diagnostic report must include specific recommendations for accommodations that are realistic and that postsecondary institutions can reasonably provide. A detailed explanation as to why each accommodation is recommended must be provided and should be correlated with specific functional limitations determined through interview, observation, and/or testing. Although prior documentation may have been useful in determining appropriate services in the past, current documentation must validate the need for services based on the individual's present level of functioning in the educational setting. **A school plan such as an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or a 504 plan is insufficient** documentation in and of itself but can be included as part of a more comprehensive evaluative report. The documentation must include any record of prior accommodations or auxiliary aids, including information about specific conditions under which the accommodations were used (e.g., standardized testing, final exams, licensing or certification examinations) and whether or not they benefited the individual. However, a prior history of accommodations without demonstration of a current need does not in itself warrant the provision of like accommodations. If no prior accommodations were provided, the qualified professional must include a detailed explanation of why no accommodations were needed in the past and why accommodations are needed at this time.

Because of the challenge of distinguishing normal behaviors and developmental patterns of adolescents and adults (e.g., procrastination, disorganization, distractibility, restlessness, boredom, academic under-achievement or failure, low self-esteem, chronic tardiness or inattentance) from clinically significant impairments, a multifaceted evaluation should address the intensity and frequency of the symptoms and whether these behaviors constitute an impairment in a major life activity.

If the requested accommodations are not clearly identified in the diagnostic report, Carnegie Mellon University will seek clarification, and if necessary, more information. Carnegie Mellon University will make final determination of whether appropriate and reasonable accommodations are warranted and can be provided to the individual.

**Please return your report to:** Larry Powell, EOS Manager Equal Opportunity Services, 102 Whitfield Hall, 143 N. Craig Street, Pittsburgh PA (412) 268-2013 [lpowell@andrew.cmu.edu](mailto:lpowell@andrew.cmu.edu)

This document can be produced in an alternative format. Call (412)268-2012

\*Copyright 1997, 1999 by Educational Testing Service. All rights reserved. EDUCATIONAL TESTING SERVICE, ETS, and the ETS logo are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service. The modernized ETS logo is a trademark of Educational Testing Service. Permission is hereby granted to reproduce this document in its *entirety* for educational purposes, but not for sale, provided that the copyright notice appears in all reproduced materials